arXiv:1401.6752v1 [math.AP] 27 Jan 2014

Infinitely many small energy solutions of a semilinear Schrödinger equation

Long-Jiang Gu, Hong-Rui Sun*†

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University,
Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, P.R. China

January 28, 2014

Abstract

In this paper we prove the existence of infinitely many small energy solution of a semilinear *Schrödinger* equation via the dual form of the generalized fountain theorem. This equation is with periodic potential and concave-convex nonlinearities.

1 Introduction

In recent years, strongly indefinite problems have attracted many authors' attention. Early in 1998, Kryszewski and Szulkin built the generalized linking theorem which is a powerful tool to study the strongly indefinite problems, see chapter 6 of [1] and [2]. Using the similar method Batkam and Colin built the generalized fountain theorem and its dual form in[3, 4, 5, 6] which may be used to find infinitely many large and small energy solutions of strongly indefinite problems.

In [7] (see[8]as well) Barstch and Willem firstly studied the elliptic equation with concave and convex nonlinearities and proved the exintence of infinitely many small energy solutions via the dual fountain theorem. In [5] the authors discussed the strongly indefinite elliptic systems with concave and convex nonlinearities defined on a bounded domain. A natural question is if we can get similar results to *Schrödinger* equation with periodic potential. At this time the problem may be strongly indefinite

*Corresponding author

†Supported by the program for New Century Excellent Talents in University(NECT-12-0246) and FRFCU(lzujbky-2013-k02).

and there does not exist the embedding from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ when $1 < q < 2$. So we discuss the following equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = g(x)|u|^{q-2}u - h(x)|u|^{p-2}u, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), N \geq 3, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

whose nonlinearity is with a weight and the weight is of appropriate attenuation such that it may bring us the embeddings we need.

In quantum mechanics, the *Schrödinger* equation is used to depict the motion law of microscopic particles. The nonlinearity of the *Schrödinger* equation means the interaction of two particles. And $g(x) > 0$ means the interaction of two particles mainly acts as attraction when the energy of the particles is small. The weight $g(x)$ looks like a permittivity which means the medium in the space is not so well-distributed and thus leads to that the attraction between two particles becomes weak when they are far away from the origin. The existence of nontrivial solutions shows that these two particles will concentrate at where they can attract each other and form a stable state.

In both [2] and [4] the authors demanded the nonlinearity to be 1-periodic as well, this condition make the energy functional to be invariant under the action of group $\mathcal{Z}_N$ thus is of benefit to the proof of the nontriviality of solutions. However, in our work we can not expect the nonlinearity with such a weight to be periodic and we get the nontriviality of solutions through the $(PS)_c$ condition if the weight $h(x)$ attenuates quickly enough so that it provides us the compact embedding. If $h(x)$ attenuates slowly we use a variant concentrating-compactness lemma noticing that the solution can not concentrate at ∞ when the weight vanishes at ∞.

2 Preliminary

In this section we first introduce the abstract critical point theorems which we will need.

Let Y be a closed subspace of a separable Hilbert space X endowed with the usual inner product $(\cdot)$ and the associated norm $\|\cdot\|$. We denote by P $:X \longrightarrow Y$ and $Q : X \longrightarrow Z = Y^{\perp}$ the orthogonal projections.

We fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ of Y and an orthonormal basis $\{f_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ of Z, and we consider on $X = Y \oplus Z$ the τ- topology introduced by Kryszewski and Szulkin in [2] that is, the topology associated to the following norm

$$\|u\|_\tau := max\{\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}|(Pu, e_j)|, \|Qu\|\} \ , \ u \in X \ .$$

It is easy to see that if $\{u_n\} \subset X$ is a bounded sequence, then

$$u_n \to u \text{ in } \tau\text{- topology} \Leftrightarrow Pu_n \rightharpoonup Pu \text{ and } Qu_n \to Qu.$$

For readers' convenience, we recall the following well-known definitions.

Definition 2.1 *Let $\varphi \in C^1(X,\mathbb{R})$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

1. *φ is τ-upper(resp.τ-lower)semicontinuous if for every $C \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $\{u \in X;\varphi(u) \geq C\}$(resp.$\{u \in X;\varphi(u) \leq C\}$) is τ-closed.*

2. *φ' is weakly sequentially continuous if the sequence $\{\varphi'(u_n)\}$ converges weakly to $\varphi'(u)$ whenever $\{u_n\}$ converges weakly to u in X.*

3. *φ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition((PS) condition for short) if any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that $\{\varphi(u_n)\}$ is bounded and $\varphi'(u_n) \to 0$, has a convergent subsequence.*

4. *φ is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition at level c ($(PS)_c$ condition for short) if any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that*

$$\varphi(u_n) \to c \text{ and } \varphi'(u_n) \to 0$$

has a convergent subsequence.

Now we introduce the dual form of the generalized fountain theorem built by Batkam and Colin in [5]. This theorem is the key to find small energy solutions.

We adopt the following notations:

$$Y^k := \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}e_j} \text{ and } Z^k := (\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} \mathbb{R}e_j) \bigoplus Z \ .$$

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\varphi \in C^1(X,\mathbb{R})$ be an even functional which is τ-lower semicontinuous and such that φ' is weakly sequentially continuous. If there exist a $k_0 > 0$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$ there exists $\sigma_k > s_k > 0$ such that:*
(B_1) $a^k := inf_{u\in Y^k,\|u\|=\sigma_k}\varphi(u) \geq 0$,
(B_2) $b^k := sup_{u\in Z^k,\|u\|=s_k}\varphi(u) < 0$,
(B_3) $d^k := inf_{u\in Y^k,\|u\|\leq\sigma_k}\varphi(u) \to 0, k \to \infty$.
Then there exists a sequence $\{u_k^n\}$ such that

$$\varphi'(u_k^n) \to 0 \text{ and } \varphi(u_k^n) \to c_k \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where $c_k \to 0$.

In order to apply these abstract theory to elliptic systems restrict to a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ when there is the compact embeddings, Batkam and Colin introduced the theorems with (PS) condition [5] Thm 6 .

Corollary 2.2 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, φ satisfies in addition:* (B_4) *φ satisfies the $(PS)_c$condition, for all $c \in [d^{k_0}, 0]$. Then φ has a sequence of critical points $\{u_k\}$ such that $\varphi(u_k) < 0$ and $\varphi(u_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.*

Throughout this paper, $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the standard Sobolev space with the norm $\|u\| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2)dx$. $L^p(a(x), \mathbb{R}^N)$ is the Lebesgue space with positive weight $a(x)$ endowed with the norm $\|u\|_{L^p(a(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} := (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p a(x)dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. By $B(x, r)$ we denote the ball centered at x with radius r . And the positive constants whose exact value are not important will be denoted by C only.

3 Main results

In this section, we discuss the existence of infinitely many small energy solutions of problem (1.1) with the dual form of the generalized fountain theorem and our basic assumptions are:

(H_1) $1 < q < 2 < p < 2^*$, where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$.

(H_2) The function $V(x) : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and 1-periodic in $x_1, ..., x_N$ and 0 lies in a gap of the spectrum of $-\Delta + V$.

(H_3) $g \in L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^N) \bigcap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $g(x) > 0$, a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $q_0 = \frac{2N}{2N-qN+2q}$.

(H_4) $h \in L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^N) \bigcap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $h(x) \geq 0$, a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $p_0 = \frac{2N}{2N-pN+2p}$.

$(H_4)'$ $h(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $h(x) \geq 0$, and $h(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

Remark 3.1 *(H_3) and (H_4) mean that the weight of the nonlinearity is of appropriate attenuation so that we can get continuous and compact embeddings from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to the Lebesgue space with weight.*

Remark 3.2 *The condition $(H_4)'$ is weaker than (H_4). In fact we may construct an example as $h(x) = \frac{1}{log|x|}$, $|x| > R$, for some $R > 0$.*

The nonlinearity of the *Schrödinger* equation means the interaction of two particles. And $g(x) > 0$ means the interaction of two particles mainly acts as attraction when the energy of the particles is small. The weight $g(x)$ looks like a permittivity which means the medium in the space is not so well-distributed and thus leads to that the attraction between two particles becomes weak when they are far away from the origin. So our conclusion shows that these two particles will concentrate at where they can attract each other.

Let us introduce the variational setting first and for more information we refer the readers to [2, 3, 4].

We define a functional φ on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as

$$\varphi(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2)dx - \frac{1}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^q dx + \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^p dx. \quad (3.1)$$

It is easy to see from condition (H_1), (H_2), (H_3) and (H_4) or (H_4') that $\varphi(u)$ is well defined and is of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, then its critical points are weak solutions of (3.1). Moreover, any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies that if $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then there holds

$$\langle \varphi'(u), \phi\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u\phi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^{q-2}u\phi dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^{p-2}u\phi dx. \quad (3.2)$$

By (H_2), the *Schrödinger* operator $-\Delta + V(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has purely continuous spectrum, and the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be decomposed into $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) = Y \bigoplus Z$ such that the quadratic form:

$$u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2)dx \quad (3.3)$$

is positive and negative definite on $Y$and Z respectively and both Y and Z are infinite-dimensional.

Now let $L : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the self-adjoint operator defined by

$$(Lu, v)_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv)dx, \quad (3.4)$$

where $(\cdot)_1$ is the usual inner product in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We denote $P : X \to Y$ and $Q : X \to Z$ the orthogonal projections, and thus we can introduce a new inner product which is equivalent to $(\cdot)_1$ by the formula

$$(u, v) := (L(Qu - Pu), v)_1 \ , \ u, v \in X$$

and in this section $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the corresponding norm

$$\|u\| := (u, u)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ .$$

Since the inner products $(\cdot)$ and $(\cdot)_1$ are equivalent, Y and Z are also orthogonal with respect to $(\cdot)$. One can verify easily that (3.2) reads

$$\varphi(u) := \frac{1}{2}(\|Pu\|^2 - \|Qu\|^2) - \frac{1}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^q dx + \frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^p dx. \quad (3.5)$$

In this section, we set

$$Y_k := \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} e_j} \text{ and } Z_k := [\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} e_j] \bigoplus Z,$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$.

Our main results in this section are

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that the conditions* (H_1),(H_2),(H_3) *and* (H_4) *hold. Then problem* (1.1) *has a sequence of nontrival solutions* $\{u_k\}$ *with* $\varphi(u_k) < 0$, *and* $\varphi(u_k) \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$.

Theorem 3.2 *Assume that the conditions* (H_1),(H_2),(H_3) *and* (H_4') *hold. Then problem* (1.1) *has a sequence of nontrival solutions* $\{u_k\}$ *with* $\varphi(u_k) < 0$, *and* $\varphi(u_k) \to 0$ *as* $k \to \infty$.

First let us prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *Assume that* $1 < q < 2^*$ *and* $g \in L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^N) \bigcap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *with* $g(x) \geq 0$ *a.e. in* $\mathbb{R}^N$, *where* $q_0 = \frac{2N}{2N-qN+2q}$. *Then* $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(g(x), \mathbb{R}^N)$ *and the embedding is compact.*

Proof. For $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from the *Hölder* inequality and Sobolev inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^q dx &\leq |g(x)|_{L^{q_0}} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{qN-2q}{2N}} \\ &= |g(x)|_{L^{q_0}} \cdot |u|^q_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq C\|u\|^q.\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$|u|_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C\|u\|,$$

which means that

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(g(x), \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Assume that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so it is bounded in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a weak convergent subsequence denoted by $\{u_n\}$ also, according to the Rellich imbedding theorem, when restrict to a bounded domain Ω, $\{u_n\}$ is strongly convergent in $L^q(\Omega)$.

We choose $R > 0$ sufficiently large such that for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ such that if $m, n > M$, we have

$$\int_{B(0,R)} |u_n - u_m|^q dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2|g|_{L^\infty}}$$

and

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R)}|g(x)|^{q_0}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}<\frac{\varepsilon}{4sup_{n>0}\{|u_n|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\}}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}g(x)|u_n-u_m|^q dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R)}g(x)|u_n-u_m|^q dx+\int_{B(0,R)}g(x)|u_n-u_m|^q dx\\ &< \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(0,R)}|g(x)|^{q_0}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q_0}}|u_n-u_m|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\ &\quad +|g|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}\int_{B(0,R)}|u_n-u_m|^q dx\\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\\ &= \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

This means that $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$, thus complete the proof. □

Lemma 3.2 *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, we define:*

$$\beta_k := sup_{u\in Y_k,\|u\|=1}|u|_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

then

$$\beta_k\to 0, k\to\infty.$$

Proof. It is clear that $0<\beta_{k+1}\le\beta_k$, so that $\beta_k\to\beta\ge 0$,$k\to\infty$. For every $k\ge 0$, there exists $u_k\in Z_k$ such that $\|u_k\|=1$ and $|u_k|_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}>\frac{\beta_k}{2}$. By the definition of Y_k, $u_k\rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus Lemma 3.1 implies that $u_k\to 0$ in $L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$. So we proved that $\beta_k\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$. □

Lemma 3.3 *Under the assumptions (H_1) (H_2) (H_3) and (H_4) or $(H_4)'$, the functional φ defined in (3.1) (or (3.5)) is $\tau-$lower semicontinuous, and φ' is weakly sequentially continuous.*

Proof.

Let $\{u_n\}\subset X$ and $c\in\mathbb{R}$ such that : $u_n\to u$ in $\tau-topology$ and $\varphi(u_n)\le c$. We write $u_n=y_n+z_n$, where $y_n\in Y, z_n\in Z$. From the definition of $\tau-topology$, we can see that $z_n\to z$.

$$c\ge\varphi(u_n) = \frac{1}{2}\|y_n\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\|z_n\|^2-\frac{1}{q}|u_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}+\frac{1}{p}|u_n|^p_{L^p(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\|y_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{q}|u_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Now we use the Jensen inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} &= |y_n + z_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq (|y_n|_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} + |z_n|_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)})^q \\ &\leq 2^{q-1}(|y_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} + |z_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}), \end{aligned}$$

with the embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|y_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z_n\|^2 - \frac{2^{q-1}}{q}(|y_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} + |z_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|y_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z_n\|^2 - \frac{2^{q-1}}{q}(\|y_n\|^q + \|z_n\|^q). \end{aligned}$$

We can see that $\{y_n\}$ is also bounded, thus $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So there exists a subsequence and we also denote it by $\{u_n\}$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$. From Lemma 3.1 we have

$$|u_n|_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^n)} \to |u|_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and with the weakly lower semicontinuity of the norm, we have

$$c \geq \varphi(u),$$

thus φ is $\tau - lower$ semicontinuous .

Now let us prove that φ' is weakly sequentially continuous. Assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $u_n \to u$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ By (H_3), (H_4) and $H\ddot{o}lder$ inequality, we can get that $\{\varphi'(u_n)\}$ is bounded, so that $\varphi'(u_n) \rightharpoonup \varphi'(u)$. □

When the condition $(H_4)'$ holds we can not get the (PS) condition. In order to prove that the solution with nonzero energy must be nontrivial, we need the following concentration-compactness lemma, and let us show the interpolation inequality for $L^p(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$ first.

Lemma 3.4 (*interpolation inequality for* $L^p(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$)*Assume that* $1 \leq s \leq r \leq t \leq \infty$, $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *with* $g(x) \geq 0$ *a.e. in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{s} + \frac{1-\theta}{t}$. *If* $u \in L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)\bigcap L^t(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$, *then* $u \in L^r(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$, *and*

$$|u|_{L^r(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq |u|^\theta_{L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}|u|^{1-\theta}_{L^t(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Proof. Using $H\ddot{o}lder$ inequality, we have

$$|u|^r_{L^r(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^r dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (g(x)^{\frac{\theta r}{s}}|u|^{\theta r})(g(x)^{\frac{(1-\theta)r}{t}}|u|^{(1-\theta)r})dx \\ &\leq (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^s dx)^{\frac{\theta r}{s}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^t dx)^{\frac{(1-\theta)r}{t}} \\ &= |u|^{\theta r}_{L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} |u|^{(1-\theta)r}_{L^t(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The idea of the following lemmas come from P.L.Lions (see chapter1 of[1])and we will prove it completely for readers' convenience.

Lemma 3.5 *(concentration-compactness) Let $r > 0$ and $2 \leq q < 2^*$.If $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and if $sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y,r)} |u_n|^q dx \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, $g \in L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^N) \bigcap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $g(x) \geq 0$, where $q_0 = \frac{2N}{2N-qN+2q}$. Then $u_n \to 0$ in $L^p(g(x), \mathbb{R}^N)$, for $max\{1, \frac{(N-2)q}{N}\} < p < 2^*$.*

Proof. Let $q < s < 2^*$, using the interpolation lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{L^s(B(y,r))} &\leq |u|^{1-\theta}_{L^q(B(y,r))} |u|^{\theta}_{L^{2^*}(B(y,r))} \\ &\leq C|u|^{1-\theta}_{L^q(B(y,r))} [\int_{B(y,r)} (|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2)dx]^{\frac{\theta}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \frac{s-q}{2^*-q}\frac{2^*}{s}$. Choosing $s = \frac{2}{\theta}$ and it is easy to see that this s is valid. So we get

$$\int_{B(y,r)} |u|^s dx \leq C|u|^{(1-\theta)s}_{L^q(B(y,r))} \int_{B(y,r)} (|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2)dx.$$

Now covering $\mathbb{R}^N$ by balls of radius r, in such a way that each point of $\mathbb{R}^N$ is contained in at most $N+1$ balls, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^s dx \leq C(N+1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2)dx \cdot sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{B(y,r)} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{(1-\theta)s}{q}}.$$

Thus $u_n \to 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. And for $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have $u_n \to 0$ in $L^s(g(x), \mathbb{R}^N)$.

For $s < p < 2^*$,by the preceding lemma we have

$$|u\ |_{L^p(g(x),\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq |u\ |^{\alpha}_{L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^n)}\ |u|^{1-\alpha}_{L^{2^*}(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} < C|u|^{\alpha}_{L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} |u|^{1-\alpha}_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{(2^*-p)s}{(2^*-s)p}$. So we have $u_n \to 0$ in $L^p(g(x), \mathbb{R}^N)$, when $s < p < 2^*$.

For $max\{1, \frac{(N-2)q}{N}\} < p < s$, we choose $t \in (max\{1, \frac{(N-2)q}{N}\}, s)$. Similarly we have

$$|u|_{L^p(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq |u|^{\beta}_{L^t(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} |u|^{1-\beta}_{L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^t dx\right)^{\frac{\beta}{t}} |u|^{1-\beta}_{L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq \left(|g(x)|_{L^{q_0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{t\frac{2N}{qN-2q}} dx\right)^{\frac{\beta}{t}} |u|^{1-\beta}_{L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\leq \left(|g(x)|_{L^{q_0}} \|u\|^{\frac{qN-2q}{2Nt}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{t}} |u|^{1-\beta}_{L^s(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta = \frac{(s-p)t}{(s-t)p}$. So we have $u_n \to 0$ in $L^p(g(x),\mathbb{R}^n)$, when $max\{1, \frac{(N-2)q}{N}\} < p < s$. Thus the proof is complete.
□

Lemma 3.6 *Let $r > 0$, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $g \in L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^N) \bigcap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $g(x) > 0$, where $q_0 = \frac{2N}{2N-qN+2q}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive $R_\varepsilon < \infty$ such that if $sup_{|y|<R_\varepsilon} \int_{B(y,r)} |u_n|^2 dx \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $lim_{n\to\infty} |u_n|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} < \varepsilon$, for $1 < q < 2$.*

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can get from *Hölder* inequality and the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ that there exists a positive $R_\varepsilon < \infty$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,R_\varepsilon)} g(x)|u_n|^q dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

From Lemma 3.5 we can see easily that if $sup_{|y|<R_\varepsilon} \int_{B(y,r)} |u_n|^2 dx \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$,

$$lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{B(0,R_\varepsilon)} g(x)|u_n|^q dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

So

$$lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n|^q dx < \varepsilon.$$

□

Lemma 3.7 *Let $r > 0$, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $h(x) \geq 0$, and $h(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive $R_\varepsilon < \infty$ such that if $sup_{|y|<R_\varepsilon} \int_{B(y,r)} |u_n|^2 dx \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $lim_{n\to\infty} |u_n|^p_{L^p(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} < \varepsilon$, for $2 < p < 2^*$.*

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can get from the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ that there exists a positive $R_\varepsilon < \infty$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,R_\varepsilon)} h(x)|u_n|^p dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

From the proof of Lemma 3.5 we can see that if $sup_{|y|<R_\varepsilon}\int_{B(y,r)}|u_n|^2dx \to 0$, as $n\to\infty$,

$$lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{B(0,R_\varepsilon)}h(x)|u_n|^pdx<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

So

$$lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}h(x)|u_n|^pdx<\varepsilon.$$

□

Now we are able to prove Theorem 3.1 .

Proof.

First let us verify the conditions :
(B_1) $a^k:=inf_{u\in Y^k,\|u\|=\sigma_k}\varphi(u)\geq 0$,
(B_2) $b^k:=sup_{u\in Z^k,\|u\|=s_k}\varphi(u)<0$,
(B_3) $d^k:=inf_{u\in Y^k,\|u\|\leq\sigma_k}\varphi(u)\to 0, k\to\infty$.

We write $u=y+z$, where $y\in Y, z\in Z$. For every $u\in Y_k$, $y=u, z=0$.
From Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{2}(\|y\|^2-\|z\|^2)-\frac{1}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}g(x)|u|^qdx+\frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}h(x)|u|^pdx\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\parallel u\parallel^2-\frac{1}{q}|u|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}+\frac{1}{p}|u|^p_{L^p(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}\\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2-\frac{1}{q}|u|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}\\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2-\frac{1}{q}\beta_k^q\|u\|^q.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma_k=(\frac{4\beta_k^q}{q})^{\frac{1}{2-q}}$,we get that

$$a^k:=inf_{u\in Y^k,\|u\|=\sigma_k}\varphi(u)\geq 0,$$

and it is easy to see from Lemma 3.2 that $\sigma_k\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$.

Now for $u\in Z^k$, we use the Jensen inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(u) &= \frac{1}{2}(\|y\|^2-\|z\|^2)-\frac{1}{q}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}g(x)|u|^qdx+\frac{1}{p}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}h(x)|u|^pdx\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|^2-\frac{1}{q}|u|^q_{L^q(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}+\frac{1}{p}|u|^p_{L^p(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|^2-\frac{1}{q}|u|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}+\frac{2^{p-1}}{p}(|y|^p_{L^p(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}+|z|^p_{L^p(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}).\end{aligned}$$

Since the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ embeds continuously in $L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$, we denote E_k the closure of Z^k in $L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists a continuous projection of E_k on $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} e_j$, thus there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$|y|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} < C|u|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

and in a finite-dimensional vector space all norms are equivalent, we have for some $C>0$

$$\|y\|^q < C|y|^q_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(u) &< \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z\|^2 - C\|y\|^q + \frac{2^{p-1}}{p}(|y|^p_{L^p(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} + |z|^p_{L^p(h(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}) \\ &< (\frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2 - C\|y\|^q + C\|y\|^p) - \frac{1}{2}\|z\|^2 + C\|z\|^p.\end{aligned}$$

So we choose s_k sufficiently small, it is easy to see

$$b^k := sup_{u\in Z^k,\|u\|=s_k}\varphi(u) < 0.$$

We know that for every $u \in Y_k$

$$\varphi(u) \geq -\frac{1}{q}\beta_k\|u\|^q$$

and

$$\beta_k, \sigma_k \to 0, k \to \infty$$

we get

$$d^k := inf_{u\in Y^k,\|u\|\leq\sigma_k}\varphi(u) \to 0, k \to \infty$$

thus condition(B_1),(B_2) and (B_3) of Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Now let us show that any sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $\varphi(u_n) \to c$ and $\varphi'(u_n) \to 0$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

For n big enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_n\| - c + 1 &> \frac{1}{2}\langle\varphi'(u_n), u_n\rangle - \varphi(u_n) \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^p dx + (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2})\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^q dx,\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^p dx < C + \|u_n\|. \tag{3.6}$$

$$\|y_n\| \geq \langle \varphi'(u_n), y_n\rangle = \|y_n\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^{q-2}uy_n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^{p-2}uy_n dx,$$

thus

$$\|y_n\|^2 \leq \|y_n\| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u|^{q-1}y_n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^{p-1}y_n dx.$$

Using *Hölder* inequality and (3.5) we have, for some $C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n\|^2 &\leq \|y_n\| + |g(x)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}u_n^{q-1}|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}|g(x)^{\frac{1}{q}}y_n^{q-1}|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} + |h(x)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}u_n^{p-1}|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}|h(x)^{\frac{1}{p}}y_n^{p-1}|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \\
&= \|y_n\| + |u_n|^{q-1}_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)}|y_n|_{L^q(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} + [\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u|^p dx]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|y_n|_{L^p(g(x),\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\leq \|y_n\| + C\|u_n\|^{q-1}\|z_n\| + C(1+\|u_n\|)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\|z_n\| \\
&\leq \|u_n\| + C\|u_n\|^q + C(1+\|u_n\|)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\|u_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can get from $\|z_n\| \geq -\langle \varphi'(u_n), z_n\rangle$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n\|^2 &\leq \|z_n\| + |g(x)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}u_n^{q-1}|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}|g(x)^{\frac{1}{q}}z_n^{q-1}|_{L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}} + |h(x)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}u_n^{p-1}|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}|h(x)^{\frac{1}{p}}z_n^{p-1}|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \\
&\leq \|u_n\| + C\|u_n\|^q + C(1+\|u_n\|)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\|u_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\|u_n\|^2 = \|y_n\|^2 + \|z_n\|^2$, we have

$$\|u_n\|^2 \leq \|u_n\| + C\|u_n\|^q + C(1+\|u_n\|)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\|u_n\|,$$

thus $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We write $u = y + z$ and $u_n = y_n + z_n$, where y, $y_n \in Y$ and z, $z_n \in Z$, so that

$$\langle \varphi'(u_n) - \varphi'(u), y_n - y\rangle \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

By the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$, we may assume, up to a subsequence, that

$$y_n \rightharpoonup y \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

$$z_n \rightharpoonup z \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \varphi'(u_n) - \varphi'(u), y_n - y\rangle = \|y_n - y\|^2 &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)(|u|^{q-2}u - |u_n|^{q-2}u_n)(y_n - y)dx \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)(|u|^{p-2}u - |u_n|^{p-2}u_n)(y_n - y)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the *Hölder* inequality we can get that

$$y_n \to y \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

similarly we have

$$z_n \to z \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

so

$$u_n \to u \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Thus the $(PS)_c$ condition holds for all $c \neq 0$. and we get the conclusion we need from Corollary2.2. □

In order to prove Theorem 3.2, we need only to show that the weak limit of $\{u_n\}$ is nontrivial.

Proof.

Now let $\varepsilon = min\{\frac{2|c|q}{3(2-q)}, \frac{2|c|p}{3(p-2)}\}$ and $\delta := \overline{lim}_{n\to\infty} sup_{|y|<R_\varepsilon} \int_{B(y,1)} |u_n|^2 dx = 0$ we get from Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 that

$$lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n|^q dx < \frac{|c|}{3},$$

and

$$lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u_n|^p dx < \frac{|c|}{3}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} |c| &= lim_{n\to\infty} |\varphi(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}\langle \varphi'(u_n), u_n\rangle| \\ &\leq lim_{n\to\infty} |(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x)|u_n|^q dx| + lim_{n\to\infty} |(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x)|u_n|^q dx| \\ &\leq \frac{2|c|}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Thus $\delta > 0$ and the weak limit of $\{u_n\}$ is nontrivial. We can get the conclusion easily from the weakly sequentially continuity of φ'.

□

References

[1] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Birkhauser, Boston(1996).

[2] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear *Schrödinger* equation, Adv. Differential Equations 3(3) (1998), 441-472.

[3] C. J. Batkam, F. Colin, On multiple solutions of a semilinear *Schrödinger* equation with periodic potential. Nonlinear Anal. 84 (2013), 39-49.

[4] C. J. Batkam, F. Colin, Generalized Fountain theorem and applications to strongly indefinite semilinear problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 405(2013), 438-452.

[5] C. J. Batkam, F. Colin, The effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some noncooperative elliptic systems, Annali di Matematica, DOI 10.1007/s10231-013-0343-9.

[6] C. J. Batkam, Radial and nonradial solutions of a strongly indefinite elliptic system on R^N, Afr. Mat., DOI 10.1007/s13370-013-0190-2.

[7] T. Barstch, M. Willem, On an elliptic equation with concave and convex nonlinearities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995) 3555-3561.

[8] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems. J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994) 519-543.

[9] W. Zou, Variant fountain theorems and their applications, Manuscripta Math. 104 (3) (2001) 343-358.

[10] S. Liu, Z. Shen, Generalized saddle point theorem and asymptotically linear problems with periodic potential. Nonlinear Anal: Theory, Methods & Applications, 86(2013), 52-57.

[11] A. Szulkin, T. Weth, The method of Nehari manifold, International Press, Boston (2010), 597-632.